

Higher order deformations

Luis - Clement
reference

Recall on Artin rings

We fix k : field, characteristic 0, algebraically closed.
and C, C' : Artin local k -algebras.

Def An elementary extension is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow C' \xrightarrow{\varphi} C \rightarrow 0$$

where J is an ideal of C' such that, if $m_{C'}$ is the maximal ideal of C' , $J \cdot m_{C'} = 0$.

In particular $J^2 = 0$ ($J \subset m_{C'}$).

This implies that J is a C -module, take

$x \in J, a \in C$. Lift a to $b \in C'$ ($\varphi(b) = a$)

and define $a \cdot x := b \cdot x$

if we choose another lifting $c \in C'$ then $b - c \in J$ so $(b - c) \cdot x = 0$ so $b \cdot x = c \cdot x$.

The extension is split if it is isomorphic to $J \oplus C$ as extension, i.e. there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & J & \rightarrow & C' & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \varphi & & \\ & & & & J \oplus C & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

In a split extension there is a canonical way to lift an element of C to C' .

Basic example of elementary extension:

$$0 \rightarrow (t^{n+1}) \rightarrow k[t]/(t^{n+2}) \rightarrow k[t]/(t^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow (t) \rightarrow k[t]/(t^2) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

the last one splits, ~~is~~

Theorem: every Artin local k -algebra can be obtained as successive elementary extensions; every surjection $C' \rightarrow C$ is a composition of elementary extensions.

Proof. take C, m_C m_C is nilpotent, $m_C^n = 0$.

$$\text{Then } 0 \rightarrow m_C^{n-1} \rightarrow C/m_C^n \rightarrow C/m_C^{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C/m_C^2 \rightarrow C/m_C \rightarrow 0$$

\bar{k}

• if $C' \rightarrow C$ is surjective, take J the kernel and $n = \max \{n \mid m_C^n J = 0\}$, then

$$0 \rightarrow m_C^{n-1} \cdot J \rightarrow C'/m_C^n \cdot J \rightarrow C'/m_C^{n-1} \cdot J \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C'/m_C^2 \cdot J \rightarrow C'/J \rightarrow 0$$

\bar{C}

So we hope to understand deformations over an Artin ring by deforming successively over elementary extensions;

We fix the notation $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$.

Recall on deformations

Algebraic case: we fix X_0 : scheme / k

A deformation of X_0 over C is a scheme X , flat over C , with a closed immersion $i: X_0 \rightarrow X$

such that

~~$X_0 \rightarrow X$~~

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec}(k) & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(C)
 \end{array}$$

is a pullback diagram
 $(X_0 \simeq X \times_C k)$

Remark. given a map $i: X_0 \rightarrow X$, this implies that i is a closed immersion.

Differential case: X_0 is a manifold, pt is the point (as 0-dimensional manifold). There is a unique map $X_0 \rightarrow pt$.

Let S be a manifold with a base-point s , seen as a map $s: pt \rightarrow S$

A deformation of X_0 over S is a family $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ (X is a manifold, π is proper and surjective a submersion) with a given embedding $f: X_0 \rightarrow X$ such that $f(X_0) \simeq \pi^{-1}(s)$. With diagram this means exactly

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_0 & \hookrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
 pt & \xrightarrow{s} & S
 \end{array}$$

is a pullback.

So we should work and think with diagrams; This gives automatically definitions for isomorphisms of deformations —

In both cases we have the trivial deformation:

algebraic case: $X_0 \hookrightarrow X_0 \times_{\mathbb{k}} C$

analytic differential case: $X_0 \xrightarrow{\text{over}} X_0 \times S$

and a deformation is called trivial if it is isomorphic to the trivial deformation.

Definition: given $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$

and a deformation X of X_0 over C , an extension

of the deformation is a scheme X' over C' , with a closed immersion $j: X \rightarrow X'$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{i} & X & \xrightarrow{j} & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(k) & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(C) & \rightarrow & \text{Spec}(C') \end{array}$$
 is composed of 3 pullback diagrams.

Basic example: ~~take~~ fix X_0 , take $X = X_0 \times_{\mathbb{k}} C$

and $X' = X_0 \times_{\mathbb{k}} C'$ (trivial).

Problem: given X , an extension X' might not exist, even trivially !!!

• there is no $X \times_C C'$ because C' is not a C algebra.

• to construct X' locally ($X = \text{Spec}(A)$, $X' = \text{Spec}(A')$)

we should lift a C -algebra A to a C' -algebra A' .

This might be not possible, and if it is, not canonical (unless the sequence $J \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C$ splits).

• if it is possible to construct X' locally, then globally we should glue extensions;

but this might not be possible ~~to give~~ 13
~~globally~~ and there should be an obstruction,
measured by a Fed cocycle and related to ~~the~~ the
different local liftings possible.

Two express. the "non-canonical choice" we need this notion.

Definition If a group G acts on a set S , we say S is
a principal homogeneous space, or torsor, \Leftrightarrow
 G acts freely and transitively
 \Leftrightarrow for all $s_0 \in S$ $G \rightarrow S$ is a bijection.
 $g \mapsto g \cdot s_0$

So we can think of S as something non-canonically
in bijection with G .

We say pseudotorsor if S can be empty.

Think of an affine space E , with an action of a vector
space $(E, +)$: E is like E without a particular
choice of an origin.

Think of the

Theorem: if $f: E \rightarrow F$ is a linear map between
vector spaces and if $y \in F$ then

• either $f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$

• or there exists $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ and

$$f^{-1}(y) = \{ x + u \mid u \in \text{Ker } f \}$$

So $f^{-1}(y)$ is a pseudotorsor for $\text{Ker } f$; there is no
canonical x .

(we use this theorem to solve linear differential equation
and write the homogeneous equation associated |

General picture

Remark also that in $J \rightarrow C \rightarrow C$, if $x \in C$, the set of liftings of x to C' is a torsor for J .

Suppose we fix $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow C' \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ and we fix X_0, X, X' (we can take the trivial $X = X_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} C$ and $X' = X_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} C'$).

Suppose we have some structure on X (line bundle, coherent sheaf, closed subscheme) and a deformation on X . We want to study how it extends to X' . It needs to lift ~~C -algebras (or modules)~~ to elements of C' -algebras (or modules).

- Locally, it is not always possible
- Locally, when it is possible to lift, it is not canonical. The set of liftings is a torsor under some local cohomology group that depends on X and J .
- Globally, there is an obstruction to glue the local extensions. The liftings must coincide, and so the global obstruction is the next local cohomology group.

Line bundles

Suppose \mathcal{L}_0 is a line bundle on X_0 , \mathcal{L} is a deformation on X and we look for extensions \mathcal{L}' on X' .

~~(to the fixed map $i: \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ of $d: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$, recall that X_0, X, X' have the same topological space;~~

Recall that X_0, X, X' have the same underlying topological space; this means that there are maps $Z \rightarrow Z_0$ and $Z' \rightarrow Z$ that induce isomorphisms

$$Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \cong Z_0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} \mathcal{O}_X \cong Z.$$

Note that if $X = X_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathbb{A}^1$ then $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathbb{A}^1$

and if $X' = X_0 \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathbb{A}^1$ then $\mathcal{O}_{X'} = \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^1} \mathbb{A}^1$.

Theorem. i. There exists a map $\delta: \{\text{lines bundles on } X\} \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $\delta(Z) = 0 \iff$ an extension Z' exists over X' .

ii. If such an Z' exists, $H^1(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$ acts transitively on isomorphism classes of such Z' .

iii. This δ is a tensor \iff the natural map

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X'}^*) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \text{ is surjective}$$

(note: this can be seen as $\text{Aut}(Z') \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$)

iv. This holds if $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = \mathbb{A}^1$

Proof i. On X there is an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

We replace it by

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\text{exy}} \mathcal{O}_{X'}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow 0$$

where exy is a truncated exponential map $x \in \mathcal{J} \mapsto (1+x)$

Then take the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) &\rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{a} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \\
&\xrightarrow{b} H^1(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{c} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{d} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \\
&\xrightarrow{e} H^2(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

It is then quite clear: \mathcal{L} lives in $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ and we want to lift it by d . It is possible $\Leftrightarrow \delta(\mathcal{L}) = 0$.

If \mathcal{L}' exists, ~~the rest~~ it is defined modulo $\text{Im}(c)$.

So it is a tensor under $H^1(X, \mathcal{J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \Leftrightarrow c$ is injective

$\Leftrightarrow b=0 \Leftrightarrow a$ is surjective.

We admit point iv.

Exercise: Understand the proof in case $X = X_0 \times C$, $X' = X_0 \times C'$ by writing explicit cocycles, and convince yourself how it fits in the general picture.

To do: clarify the functoriality, write i^* , i^{-1} where $i: X_0 \hookrightarrow X$.

Closed subschemes.

We fix X_0, X, X' , suppose Y_0 is a closed subscheme of X_0 and Y a deformation on X , i.e. a closed subscheme $Y \subset X$ such that $Y \times_C k = Y_0$. We look for extensions Y' on X' , i.e. closed subschemes with $Y' \times_{C'} C = Y$; we have diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Y_0 & \hookrightarrow & Y & \hookrightarrow & Y' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_0 & \hookrightarrow & X & \hookrightarrow & X' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
k & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & C'
\end{array}$$

where \hookrightarrow denotes closed subschemes and we see pullbacks over $k \rightarrow C$ and $C \rightarrow C'$.

Theorem. The set of extensions of Y over C is a pseudotorsor under the action of $H^0(Y_0, N_{Y_0/X_0} \otimes_k J)$ where N_{Y_0} is the normal sheaf N_{Y_0/X_0} .

- If extensions exists locally on X (technical hypothesis; we admit it is true if $Y_0 \subset X_0$ is a local complete intersection; or if Y_0, X_0 are smooth). Then there is an obstruction $\alpha \in H^1(Y_0, N_{Y_0/X_0} \otimes_k J)$ such that $\alpha = 0 \iff Y'$ exists globally. Then the set of all extensions is a torsor under $H^0(Y_0, N_{Y_0/X_0} \otimes_k J)$.

The proof is clear in the book. It begins by the first part, locally then globally; the pseudotorsor corresponds to different possible liftings. Then we use No to prove the second part, where we must make compatible local liftings: the obstruction is measured by a 1st cohomology class of degree 1.

Corollary. If $X_0 = \mathbb{P}_k^n$ and Y_0 is a closed subscheme, assume there are no local obstructions to deformation of Y_0 . Let y be the point of the Hilbert scheme H corresponding to Y . Then H is nonsingular at y .

Proof. We use the infinitesimal ~~property~~ lifting property. We must show that for all C and $f: \text{Sec}(C) \rightarrow H$ that sends the unique closed point to y , and for any surjection $C' \rightarrow C$, f lifts to $g: \text{Sec}(C') \rightarrow H$. We can suppose $C' \rightarrow C$ is an elementary extension.

By the fundamental property of H , f corresponds exactly to a deformation of Y_0 over C and g to an extension of the deformation ~~to~~ over C' .

(If T is a scheme: $\text{Hom}(T, H) \Leftrightarrow$ closed subscheme $\subset X \times T$, flat over T . \rightarrow).

If extensions of deformations exist, then f lifts to g ,
So Y is nonsingular.