

Functors of Artin rings

1

k : field of characteristic 0

Louis-Clement
Lefèvre

\mathcal{C} : category of Artin local k -algebras

goal: study deformation problems as functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$

Examples

• If X is a scheme over k

$\text{Def}_X: A \mapsto \{ \text{deformations of } X \text{ over } A \} / \text{isomorphism}$
see the chapter "miniversal and universal deformations of a scheme" for a detailed study

• If $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]] / (F_1, \dots, F_m)$

defines a functor $A \mapsto \text{Hom}(R, A)$

$= A \mapsto \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathfrak{m})^n \mid \forall i=1-m \ F_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \}$
 \uparrow
maximal ideal of A

In fact here Hom denotes morphisms of local k -algebras, so that the images of X_1, \dots, X_n , which are the maximal ideal of $k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$, must be in \mathfrak{m} . This is well defined since \mathfrak{m} is nilpotent and you can replace X_1, \dots, X_n in power series by elements of a nilpotent ideal.

• If X is a scheme of finite type over k and x a k -rational point, it defines a functor

$F_{X,x}: A \mapsto \{ f: \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X \mid f|_{\text{Spec}(k)} = x \}$

If we take affine coordinates around x we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq (k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_r))_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \quad \text{localization}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \simeq k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / (f_1, \dots, f_r)$$

$F_{X,x}$ is isomorphic to $A \mapsto \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (m)^n \mid \forall i=1, \dots, r \quad f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \right\}$

In fact $f: \text{Spec}(A) \rightarrow X$ is determined locally by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in A^n \mid f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ and asking that $f|_{\text{Spec}(A)} = x$ is asking $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in (m)^n$ since x has coordinates 0.

~~So $F_{X,x}$~~

$$\text{So } F_{X,x} \simeq A \mapsto \text{Hom}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}, A).$$

Pro-representable functors

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$: category of complete noetherian local k -algebra.

elements: $R = \varprojlim R/m^n$ and R/m^n is in \mathcal{C}

typically $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / I$.

~~if $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ then $R/m^n = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$~~

ex: if $R = k[[X]]$ then $R/m^n = k[X]/X^n$ is in \mathcal{C}

Defn: A functor $F: \widehat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is called pro-representable if F is isomorphic to $A \mapsto \text{Hom}(R, A)$ for some $R \in \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Often a functor is not ~~pre~~-representable, but we are ^{int} interested in morphisms of functor $\varphi: \text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F$.

Such a φ induces for all n $\varphi_n: \text{Hom}(R, R/m^n) \rightarrow F(R/m^n)$,
the image of $\pi_n: R \rightarrow R/m^n$ is denoted $\xi_n \in F(R/m^n)$.

The (ξ_n) form a formal family, the projection

$R/m^{n+1} \rightarrow R/m^n$ induces $F(R/m^{n+1}) \rightarrow F(R/m^n)$
that sends ξ_{n+1} to ξ_n , so $\xi_n \in \varprojlim F(R/m^n)$.

We denote by $\widehat{F}(R)$ the category of formal families.

Theorem ("pro-Yoneda lemma")

There is a natural bijection
morphism $\text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F \iff \widehat{F}(R)$

Proof: we have seen the map \rightarrow .

In the other direction, given (ξ_n) we define $\varphi: \text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F$:

if $A \in \mathcal{E}$ we will have $\varphi_A: \text{Hom}(R, A) \rightarrow F(A)$.

if $f \in \text{Hom}(R, A)$, f factors through $\pi_n: R \rightarrow R/m^n$ for $n \gg 0$.

$f = g \pi_n$ $g: R/m^n \rightarrow A$

and we let $\varphi_A(f) = \text{image of } \xi_n \in F(R/m^n) \text{ by } F(g): F(R/m^n) \rightarrow F(A)$
this doesn't depend on $n \gg 0$.

Remark: If $R \in \mathcal{E}$ (ie without all the R/m^n) this is exactly the
content and the proof of the usual Yoneda lemma

$\text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F \iff F(R)$.

We say that the couple (R, ξ) ~~no~~ - represents F .

Formal families

~~is~~ for a functor:

A ~~couple~~ ^{family} for a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ will just be the data of $R \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\xi \in \hat{F}(R)$, seen as a morphism $\text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F$.

Def: a morphism of functors of Artin rings $\varphi: G \rightarrow F$ is strongly surjective if: \forall

- i. $\forall A \in \mathcal{C}$ $\varphi_A: G(A) \rightarrow F(A)$ is surjective and
- ii. \forall surjection $B \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} the induced morphism $G(B) \rightarrow G(A) \times_{F(A)} F(B)$ is surjective.

Remarks. The fiber products in Set , given $f: B \rightarrow A$ and $g: C \rightarrow A$, is $B \times_A C = \{ (b, c) \in B \times C \mid f(b) = g(c) \}$

The fiber products in the category \mathcal{C} is induced by the one in Set . Here the morphism $G(A) \rightarrow F(A)$ is induced by φ_A and $F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$ is induced by $F(B \rightarrow A)$.

~~• If $F(A) = \{*\}$ ^{singleton} which is not a big restriction, some authors put it in the definition of a functor of Artin rings — then $ii \Rightarrow i$ by taking $A = k$~~

• If $F(k)$ and $G(k)$ have only one element — which is not a big restriction, some authors put it in the definition of a functor of Artin rings — then $ii \Rightarrow i$, simply with $A = k$, then $G(A) \times_{F(A)} F(B) = F(B)$.

- A function F is called smooth if $F(k) \cong \text{singleton}$ and $\exists F \rightarrow *$ (constant function) is smooth. This means \forall surjection $B \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} , $F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$ is surjective.

Recall the infinitesimal lifting property: this is exactly the case of the functor $F_{X, x}$ for a scheme X over k and x a smooth point!!

In case of $\varphi: \text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F$, property ii of strong surjectivity means:

given $g: B \rightarrow A$ a surjection in \mathcal{C} ,

given a morphism $f: R \rightarrow A$ (ie $f \in G(A)$)

given an element $\theta \in F(B)$ that induces $\eta \in F(A)$
(via $F(g: B \rightarrow A)$)

$$G(B) \twoheadrightarrow \begin{matrix} G(A) \times F(B) \\ F(A) \end{matrix}$$

such that f induces η via $\varphi_A: \text{Hom}(R, A) \rightarrow F(A)$

then there exists some $h: R \rightarrow B$ (ie $h \in G(B)$)

that induces f and θ

\hookrightarrow this means that h is a lifting of f . $h = g \circ f$.

Def A ~~category~~ ^{formal family} (R, ξ) for F is called

a- a versal family if $\text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F$ is strongly surjective

b- a miniversal family (or: a hull) if in addition $\text{Hom}(R, D) \rightarrow F(D)$ is bijective $D = k[[t]]/t^2$.

c- a universal family if (R, ξ) ~~is~~ pro-represents F .

Theorem Let (R, ξ) be a ~~family~~ ^{formal family} for F

a. If (R, ξ) is versal then $\forall (S, \eta)$ ~~there~~ ^{other formal family} there is a ring morphism $f: R \rightarrow S$ that induces $\hat{F}(R) \rightarrow \hat{F}(S)$ which sends ξ to η .

b. If (R, ξ) is miniversal then the above f induces a unique map $R/m^2 \rightarrow S/m^2$ (ie the derivative is unique).

c. If (R, ξ) is universal then f is unique.

Proof. a. Let $\varphi: \text{Hom}(R, -) \rightarrow F$ determined by ξ .

For all n we have $\varphi_{S/m^n}: \text{Hom}(R, S/m^n) \rightarrow F(S/m^n)$

By surjectivity there is $\theta_n \in \text{Hom}(R, S/m^n)$ such that $\varphi_{S/m^n}(\theta_n) = \eta_n \in F(S/m^n)$.

By strong surjectivity we can lift the θ_n inductively to a compatible family: (see the previous notation)

given $S/m^{n+1} \rightarrow S/m^n$

given $\theta_n: R \rightarrow S/m^n$ induced inductively by θ_n

given $\eta_{n+1} \in F(S/m^{n+1})$ inducing $\eta_n \in F(S/m^n)$

there is $\theta_{n+1}: R \rightarrow S/m^{n+1}$ that lifts θ_n .

This defines a map $R \rightarrow S = \varprojlim S/m^n$.

By construction it induces $\hat{F}(R) \rightarrow \hat{F}(S)$ and sends ξ to η .

b. It is enough to check that for all map $S/m^2 \rightarrow D$ the induced map by $f: R/m^2 \rightarrow D$ is unique.

Indeed we think of $F: R/m^2 \rightarrow S/m^2$ as a derivative and a map $S/m^2 \rightarrow D$ as some coordinate of the derivative

(ex: $S = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$
a map $S/m^2 \rightarrow D$ is induced by $X \mapsto X_i'$)

But: $\text{Hom}(R/m^2, D) = \text{Hom}(R, D)$
and $\text{Hom}(R, D) \rightarrow F(D)$ is bijective.

c. It is ~~the~~ a corollary to the pro-Yoneda lemma:

η determines a unique map $\text{Hom}(S, \Rightarrow) \rightarrow F$
but F is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(R, -)$
so η determines a unique map $\text{Hom}(S, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, -)$
which is induced by a unique $R \rightarrow S$.

Schlessinger's criterion, necessary parts

We fix a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$.

We denote by $t_F := F(D)$ the tangent space to F ;

if X is a scheme over k with a k -point x then for $F_{X,x}$ the tangent space is exactly the usual Zariski tangent space.

We give a list of necessary conditions; and the full Schlessinger's criterion at next lecture.

• If F has a versal family^{a)} then $F(k)$ has just one element.

Proof: $\text{Hom}(R, k) \rightarrow F(k)$ is surjective and $\text{Hom}(R, k)$ has just one element.

b) and: \forall morphism $A' \rightarrow A$ and $A'' \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{C} the natural map

$$F\left(\begin{array}{c} A' \times A'' \\ A \end{array}\right) \rightarrow F(A') \times_{F(A)} F(A'')$$

is surjective.

Proof: by strong surjectivity (only part i is needed)
 elements of $F(A)$, $F(A')$, $F(A'')$ are induced by morphisms $R \rightarrow A$, $R \rightarrow A'$, $R \rightarrow A''$ in a compatible way in order to define a map $R \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A' \times A'' \\ A \end{array}$, which then gives an element of $F\left(\begin{array}{c} A' \times A'' \\ A \end{array}\right)$, all in a compatible way.

Furthermore if F has a universal family

c. $\forall A \in \mathcal{C}$ for the map $A \rightarrow k$ and $D \rightarrow k$, the above

$$F\left(\begin{array}{c} A \times D \\ k \end{array}\right) \rightarrow F(A) \times_{F(k)} F(D)$$

is bijective

d. t_F has a natural structure of k -vector space. (finite dim)

Proof: $t_F = F(D) \simeq \text{Hom}(R, D)$, which is a k -vector space and we can pull-back this structure

e. $\forall p: A' \rightarrow A$ small extension and $\eta \in F(A)$, there is a transitive group action of the vector space t_F on $F(A)^{-1}(\eta)$ (if nonempty)

If F is pro-representable, then

f. All the maps of \mathcal{C} are bijective and the action of e is simply transitive.

Proofs: see the book.